



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

MV

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/272,190 03/18/99 WILSON

J PA-92

EXAMINER

PM82/0521

MEREK AND VOORHEES
643-B SOUTH WASHINGTON STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

MARKOVICH, K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3671

DATE MAILED:

05/21/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/272,190

Applicant(s)
Jack H. Wilson Sr.

Examiner
Kristine Markovich

Group Art Unit
3671



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kristine Markovich

(3) David H. Voorhees

(2) Tom Will

(4) _____

Date of Interview May 17, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed:

Hayden (US Patent 1,955,421), Reed (US Patent 5,079,095), Fekete et al. (US Patent 5,494,741)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant has agreed to submit an amendment including language to clearly state that the initial layer of polymer modified concrete is poured over a pre-existing paved road. Additionally, the details of claim 2 would be added stressing the fact that the polymer in the concrete is what allows for the very thin layer to be applied.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Thomas B. Will
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.